UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,011	08/15/2006	Niculo Steinrisser	P30190 9108	
	7590 05/28/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
	CLARKE PLACE		AMIRI, NAHID	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/598,011	STEINRISSER, NICULO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		NAHID AMIRI	3679			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely under the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	oril 2009				
·		action is non-final.				
′=	/ <b>—</b>		secution as to the merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	p				
		li-sti				
•	Claim(s) 12-22 and 24-31 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
-	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🔀	Claim(s) 12-22 and 24-31 are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

It has now become readily apparent to the Examiner that the instant application contains two, patentably distinct inventions and that there would be a serious burden imposed on the Examiner to have to continue to search and examine both patentably distinct inventions in the same application. With respect to the serious burden, it should be noted that the patentability determination of product claims is based on the recited structure irrespective of how that structure was produced while the patentability of process claims is based on recited process steps. Thus, the patentability of one invention does not inherently necessitate that the other invention is patentable.

It should also be noted that restriction can be made at any time that it is proper before final. See MPEP 811. The instant application is not under final rejection.

Finally, it is noted that review of the Groves et al reference reveals that the indication of allowable subject matter therein was premature and improper because such reference clearly illustrates the presence of a rib projecting from one of the hub groove head, hub groove root, shaft groove head, and shaft groove root.

Accordingly, restriction to one of the following inventions is now being required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 12-22, 24-26, 30 and 31, drawn to groove profile for a positive hub-shaft connection, classified in class 403, subclass 359.1.
- Group II. Claim 27-29, drawn to a method of producing the groove profile, classified in class 29, subclass 557.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of Group I, as claimed, can be made by another materially different process than that of the process of Group II, as claimed. In particular, the grooves of Group I can be made by a machining instead of profiling.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Neil F. Greenblum on May 7, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nahid Amiri whose telephone number is (571) 272-8113. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nahid Amiri Examiner Art Unit 3679 May 7, 2009